

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,122	06/18/2002	Robert Chalifour	14445-504 NATL.	5542	
21559 7.	590 08/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET			LIU, SAM	LIU, SAMUEL W	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

' 		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/009,122	CHALIFOUR ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Samuel W Liu	1653		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No	ovember 2001.			
2a)[<u> </u>				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-22,29,32 and 34-38 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-22,29,32 and 34-38 are subject to re	n from consideration.	nent.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.		, ,		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) 🛭 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/009,122

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary amendment filed 5 November 2001, which cancels claims 23-28, 30-31 and 33 and add claims 37-38 has been entered. The following Office action is applicable to the pending claims 1-22, 29, 32 and 34-38.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-8, 20-22, 29 and 32, drawn to an anti-fibrillogenic agent (peptide) and a composition comprising said peptide agent.

Group II, claims 9-17, drawn to a chimeric molecule, i.e., a conjugate comprising (i) an amyloid plaque-treating moiety, (ii) a peptide linker, and (iii) a peptidic label, which comprises the peptide agent set forth in Group I.

Group III, claims 18-19, drawn to a method of treating amyloidosis disorders in a patient comprising administering to said patient the peptide agent of Group I.

Group IV, claims 34-36, drawn to a method of preparing cells suitable for transplantation into a mammal comprising contacting the cells in vitro with the peptide agent of Group I.

The inventions listed as Groups I –IV do not related to a single general invention concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The instant claim 1 is obvious over Nordstedt, C. et al. (WO 9721728, June 17, 1997). Prior to the effective filing date of the instant application, Nordstedt et al. teach an peptide composition (interfering with polymerization of A β into amyloid fibrils, i.e.,

Application/Control Number: 10/009,122

Art Unit: 1653

inhibiting amyloidosis) comprising the sequence "KLVFF" (SEQ ID NO:11) (see Example 1, pages 9-12 and 16, which reads on the peptide formula I of the instant claim 1. Thus, the claimed composition does not constitute a special technical feature linking all claims, as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 and 37 CFR 1.475(a), as a single contribution over the art, and a holding of lack of unity is therefore proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1653

Applicants are advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143).

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Wei Liu, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0949. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday 9:00 -5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (757) 272-0925. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communication and (703) 305-3014 for the after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Samuel W. Liu, Ph.D.

August 7, 2004

SwL

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Karen (och ane (alson Pis)